

# Mn(V)(O) versus Cr(V)(O) Porphyrinoid Complexes: Structural Characterization and Implications for Basicity Controlling H-Atom Abstraction

Regina A. Baglia, Katharine A. Prokop-Prigge, Heather M. Neu, Maxime A. Siegler, and David P. Goldberg\*

Department of Chemistry, The Johns Hopkins University, 3400 North Charles Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21218, United States

#### **Supporting Information**

**ABSTRACT:** Isomorphous crystals of  $Mn^{V}(O)$  and  $Cr^{V}(O)$  corrolazines were characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction. Reactivity studies with H atom donors and separated PCET reagents show a dramatic difference in H atom abstracting abilities for these two complexes. The implied large difference in driving force is opposite the trend in redox potentials, indicating that basicity is a key factor in determining the striking difference in reactivity for two metal-oxo species in identical ligand environments.

The ability of high-valent metal-oxo complexes to abstract hydrogen atoms from organic compounds is of critical importance to the functioning of metal-based oxidation catalysts. Included among these catalysts are enzymatic systems that utilize both heme and nonheme metal active sites.<sup>1,2</sup> How the metal ion, the coordinating ligands, and surrounding protein matrix in the case of biological catalysts control the reactivity of metal-oxo intermediates in H atom abstraction is a question of fundamental importance. In heme enzymes, the Cytochrome P450s are among the most powerful H atom abstractors, utilizing compound I ((Fe<sup>IV</sup>(O)(porph<sup>•+</sup>)(cys)) for strong C-H cleavage.<sup>1</sup> The large driving force presented for H atom abstraction by P450 can be related to the bond dissociation free energy (BDFE) of the O-H bond of compound II (Fe<sup>IV</sup>(OH)(porph)(cys)), formed after H atom transfer (HAT). The O–H BDFE can be further dissected into electron ( $E^{\circ}$ ) and proton  $(pK_a)$  affinities (or basicity), and evidence indicates that it is the elevated basicity of the Fe<sup>IV</sup>=O unit in Cpd-II ( $pK_a \approx 12$ ) that provides an advantage in driving force for HAT.

Attempts to synthesize biomimetic high-valent metal-oxo species and to examine their propensity for HAT has led to parallel insights regarding the thermodynamic control of these reactions.<sup>3,4</sup> These studies have helped support the analysis of the biological systems and supplied information for the design of synthetic oxidation catalysts. However, much remains to be learned regarding how the metal ion and ligand(s) of  $M(O)(L_n)$  complexes tune M(O-H) BDFEs, redox potentials,  $pK_as$ , and ultimately HAT reactivity.

In this communication we compare the H atom abstraction abilities of  $Mn^{V}(O)$  and  $Cr^{V}(O)$  porphyrinoid complexes. Both of these complexes are characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD). To our knowledge, the manganese complex is

the first example of a structurally characterized  $Mn^{V}(O)$  complex in a heme-type environment. The Cr and Mn complexes are isomorphous, providing a unique opportunity to determine the inherent HAT reactivity of  $Mn^{V}(O)$  versus  $Cr^{V}(O)$  moieties. Although  $Cr^{V}(O)$  complexes, including porphyrins, are known, little information is available regarding their H atom abstraction abilities.<sup>5</sup> In this communication we show that  $Cr^{V}(O)$  is a better  $1\text{-}e^{-}$  oxidant than  $Mn^{V}(O)$ , but is a much *weaker* H atom abstractor.

The synthesis of  $Mn^{V}(O)(TBP_8Cz)$  (TBP<sub>8</sub>Cz = octakis(*p*tert-butylphenyl)corrolazinato<sup>3-</sup>) was carried out in a manner similar to that previously reported.<sup>4a</sup> Addition of freshly prepared PhIO (10 equiv) to  $Mn^{III}(TBP_8Cz)$  (1) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  gives the oxidized  $Mn^{V}(O)(TBP_8Cz)$  (2), which can be purified by silica gel chromatography. Dissolution of 2 in toluene to give a dark green solution followed by slow vapor diffusion of  $CH_3CN$  leads to the growth of dark green needles after a few days. These crystals were suitable for X-ray structure determination, and the structure of 2 is shown in Figure 1. Previous attempts at growing crystals of 2 in other solvent combinations were plagued by decomposition of the complex. However, we observed that 2 was stable in toluene/CH<sub>3</sub>CN for at least 2 weeks and favored slow crystal growth. This crystallization method was also highly reproducible. As depicted in Figure 1, the  $Mn^{V}$  ion is 5-



Figure 1. Displacement ellipsoid plot (50% probability level) of  $Mn^V(O)$  (TBP<sub>8</sub>Cz) (2) at 110(2) K. H atoms and disorder are omitted for clarity.

 Received:
 May 18, 2015

 Published:
 August 21, 2015

coordinate, with Mn-N<sub>pyrrole</sub> distances between 1.873(2)-1.8974(19) Å, and a short Mn-O distance of 1.5455(18) Å consistent with an Mn≡O triple bond. These distances are in agreement with those determined previously by EXAFS (d(Mn -O) = 1.56 Å,  $d(Mn-N_{pyrrole}) = 1.88$  Å).<sup>4a</sup> Structurally characterized nonheme complexes have Mn-O bond distances of 1.548(4) - 1.558(4) Å, which are comparable with that of 2.<sup>6</sup> The manganese ion in 2 is significantly displaced by ca. 0.59 Å from the plane of the four pyrrole N atoms toward the terminal oxo ligand. For the isoelectronic  $Mn^{V}(NMes)(TBP_{\circ}Cz)$ , the terminal mesitylimido Mn-N distance is slightly longer at 1.595(4) - 1.611(4) Å, while the Mn ion is less displaced out of the N<sub>pyrrole</sub> plane (Mn-N<sub>4</sub>(plane) = 0.55 Å).<sup>4c</sup> The structure unequivocally shows that the Mn<sup>V</sup>(O) complex is 5-coordinate, as opposed to the proposed structures for related Mn<sup>V</sup>(O) porphyrins.7

The analogous  $Cr^{V}(O)(TBP_{8}Cz)$  (3) was synthesized by aerobic, oxidative metalation of the metal-free corrolazine,  $TBP_8CzH_3$ , with  $Cr(CO)_6$  in refluxing toluene.<sup>5d</sup> No significant color change from the deep green of the metal-free starting material ( $\lambda_{max}$  = 456, 679 nm) was noted, but monitoring the reaction by UV-vis revealed distinct shifts in both the Soret and Q-band regions (3:  $\lambda_{max}$  = 448, 653 nm). Complex 3 was purified by flash chromatography (eluent: 60:40, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexanes) and recrystallized from vapor diffusion of acetonitrile into a toluene solution of 3 over 1 week. An X-ray structure determination was carried out and the structure of 3, which is isomorphous with the  $Mn^{V}(O)$  complex, is shown in Figure S1. The Cr<sup>V</sup> ion is 5coordinate as seen for Mn, and d(Cr-O) = 1.553(2) Å. This distance is similar to that seen for  $Cr^{V}(O)$  corroles (~1.57 Å).<sup>5d,8</sup> The out of plane displacement of the chromium in 3 (Cr- $N_4$ (plane) = 0.61 Å) is slightly larger than that seen for the corrole analogues (0.56-0.58), probably arising from the smaller cavity size of corrolazine (trans-N<sub>pyrrole</sub>-N<sub>pyrrole</sub>: 3.61 Å) versus corrole (trans-N<sub>pyrrole</sub>-N<sub>pyrrole</sub>: 3.67-3.69 Å). The metal-oxo distance for 3 is identical to that of 2, but the M-N<sub>pyrrole</sub> distances are slightly longer for 3 versus 2 (see Table S1). The out-of-plane distance for 3 is also slightly larger than 2. These observations are consistent with the larger ionic radius of the Cr<sup>V</sup> versus Mn<sup>V</sup> ion.<sup>9</sup>

The EPR spectrum (9.44 GHz, 294 K) of 3 is shown in Figure S3. A nine line signal centered at g = 1.987 is observed, consistent with a Cr<sup>V</sup> ( $d^1$ , S = 1/2) ion with hyperfine coupling to four equivalent pyrrole nitrogen atoms (<sup>14</sup>N, I = 1). The satellite signals at high and low fields are due to hyperfine splitting from <sup>53</sup>Cr (9.5% abundant, I = 3/2). Evans method NMR measurement gave a magnetic moment of  $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 1.36 \,\mu_{\text{B}}$ , which is close to the predicted spin-only value of  $1.73 \,\mu_{\text{B}}$  for an S = 1/2 ion. These data confirm the +5 oxidation state of the Cr ion and rule out the involvement of other potential ground state electronic configurations, such as Cr<sup>IV</sup>(O)(TBP<sub>8</sub>Cz<sup>•+</sup>).<sup>4g,h</sup>

Prior to examining the reactivity of the  $Cr^{V}(O)$  complex 3, we synthesized the reduced chromium(III) analogue to obtain a spectroscopic benchmark for this species. Addition of triphenylphosphine, an oxygen atom acceptor, to 3 in toluene, followed by slow vapor diffusion of  $CH_3CN$  over the course of 2 weeks led to X-ray quality crystals of  $Cr^{III}(TBP_8Cz)(CH_3CN)_2$  (4). The crystal structure of 4 is shown in Figure S5. Complex 4 is 6-coordinate, with the  $Cr^{III}$  ion bound by two axial  $CH_3CN$  molecules. Unlike in 3, the Cr ion is displaced from the  $N_4$ (plane) by only 0.013 Å to accommodate the sixth ligand. The UV–vis spectrum of 4 in  $CH_2Cl_2$  gives Soret and Q-bands at 465 and 709 nm, respectively, which are easily distinguished from those observed for the  $Cr^{V}(O)$  complex. The low-temperature

EPR spectrum of 4 (9.44 GHz, 12 K) is consistent with the S = 3/2 ground state expected for the Cr<sup>III</sup> ( $d^3$ ) ion (Figure S7).<sup>8a</sup>

The Mn<sup>V</sup>(O) and Cr<sup>V</sup>(O) complexes are ideal candidates for examining the relative reactivity of high-valent, biomimetic metal-oxo complexes bound in identical ligand environments. Previously, we showed that **2** reacts with a range of H atom donors, including both substituted phenols (O–H bonds) and hydrocarbon (C–H) substrates, which exhibited bond dissociation energies (BDEs) from 66–80 kcal/mol.<sup>4b,d</sup> We examined complex **3** for its potential reactivity toward substrates with similar bond strengths. A rapid reaction between **3** and excess TEMPOH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was observed by UV–vis spectroscopy, resulting in the isosbestic conversion of **3** (448, 653 nm) to a Cr<sup>III</sup> product with a spectrum similar to **4** in the presence of excess TEMPOH (Figure 2a, Figure S10). The corresponding yield of



**Figure 2.** (a) UV–vis spectral changes (0–3 min) for the reaction of 3 (12  $\mu$ M) with TEMPOH (150 equiv) at 25 °C. (b) Change in absorbance at 653 nm versus time corresponding to the decay of 3 (red circles) and best fit (black line). Inset: second-order rate plot.

TEMPO<sup>•</sup> was 82% (EPR quantitation) or 1.62 equiv relative to **3**. These data indicate the stoichiometry for this reaction follows that shown in Scheme 1, in which two equiv of TEMPOH react



with 3 to give one equiv of reduced Cr<sup>III</sup> product and two equiv of TEMPO<sup>•</sup> product. No Cr<sup>IV</sup> intermediates were observed. This reaction exhibited pseudo-first-order behavior over 5 half-lives, and a plot of  $k_{obs}$  (s<sup>-1</sup>) values correlated linearly with [TEMPOH] to give a second-order rate constant of  $k_2 = 16 \pm 1 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Figure 2b). A kinetic isotope effect of  $k_H/k_D = 5.2 \pm 0.6$  was measured for TEMPOH/D (Figure S9). These observations are consistent with a concerted H atom transfer (HAT) mechanism for the reaction of 2 with TEMPOH.

As seen in Table 1, complex 3 was only capable of oxidizing TEMPOH, with a weak O–H bond of 67 kcal/mol. It was unreactive toward other H atom donors, even under higher temperatures and prolonged reaction times. For example, a mixture of 3 and excess xanthene (BDFE = 73.3 kcal/mol) in toluene at 70 °C for 40 h gives back only starting material. In contrast, the  $Mn^{V}(O)$  complex 2 reacts with substrates that have BDFEs up to 80 kcal/mol.

A thermodynamic analysis of H atom abstraction for 2 and 3 can provide insight into the differences in reactivity seen for these two complexes. H atom abstraction by the metal-oxo complexes can be described as shown in Scheme 2, where HAT follows

Table 1. Reaction of 2 ( $Mn^{V}(O)$ ) or 3 ( $Cr^{V}(O)$ ) with H-Atom Donors (C-H and O-H) with a Range of BDFEs

substrate	$Mn^{V}(O)$	$Cr^{V}(O)$	BDFE <sup>3a</sup>
HMB <sup>a</sup>	no	no	83
2,4,6-TTBP <sup>b</sup>	yes	no	80
DHA <sup>c</sup>	yes	no	77
xanthene	yes	no	73
TEMPOH	yes	yes	67

<sup>*a*</sup>HMB = hexamethylbenzene. <sup>*b*</sup>2,4,6-TTBP = 2,4,6-tri-*tert*-butylphenol. <sup>*c*</sup>DHA = 9,10-dihydroanthracene.

## Scheme 2



either the concerted (diagonal) or stepwise electron-transfer (ET, horizontal) and proton-transfer (PT, vertical) steps shown in the square scheme. The thermodynamic parameters  $(E^{\circ}, pK_{a})$ associated with the ET and PT steps combined with the free energy of formation of the hydrogen atom  $(C_G)$ , can be used to calculate the bond dissociation free energy (BDFE) for M(O-H), eq 1.<sup>10a</sup> The difference in BDFE ( $\Delta$ BDFE) for Mn<sup>IV</sup>(OH) versus Cr<sup>IV</sup>(OH) is expressed in eq 2 and relies only on the differences in  $E^{\circ}$  and  $pK_{av}$  eliminating the requirement for an accurate measure of C<sub>G</sub>. Assuming the reaction is under thermodynamic control, the BDFE of the M(O-H) bond must be similar to or greater than the X-H bond being cleaved in the substrate. The correlation of HAT reactivity with BDFE has been observed for metal-oxo complexes.<sup>3</sup> The results in Table 1 indicate that the BDFE for  $Mn^{IV}(OH)$  should be between 80–83 kcal/mol, whereas for Cr<sup>IV</sup>(OH) the BDFE is 67-73 kcal/mol. These data imply a  $\triangle$ BDFE of at least 8 kcal/mol for these two complexes.<sup>1</sup>

$$BDFE(kcal/mol) = 1.37pK_a + 23.06E^\circ + C_G$$
 (1)

$$\Delta BDFE = 1.37 \Delta p K_a + 23.06 \Delta E^{\circ}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

The Mn<sup>V</sup>(O) and Cr<sup>V</sup>(O) complexes were also capable of reacting with separated electron-transfer/proton-transfer reagents through a proton-coupled electron-transfer (PCET) mechanism. It has been shown that an effective "BDFE" for separate reductant/acid pairs can be calculated from their individual  $E^{\circ}$  and  $pK_{\rm a}$  values.<sup>10b,c</sup> Complex 2 reacts with the reductant dimethylferrocene (Me<sub>2</sub>Fc) ( $E_{1/2} = -0.24$  V versus Fc<sup>+</sup>/Fc in CH<sub>3</sub>CN) in the presence of the H<sup>+</sup> donor acetic acid ( $pK_{\rm a} = 23.5$ ), to give Mn<sup>III</sup>(TBP<sub>8</sub>Cz)(OH<sub>2</sub>) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> as shown by UV–vis (Figure S13). However, no reaction occurs with either Me<sub>2</sub>Fc or CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H alone, supporting a PCET process.<sup>4e</sup> The BDFE for the Me<sub>2</sub>Fc/CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H pair is 81.6 kcal/mol in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (C<sub>G</sub> = 54.9 kcal/mol). However, replacement of the

Me<sub>2</sub>Fc reductant with unsubstituted Fc ( $E_{1/2} = 0.00$  V) leads to no reaction. An effective BDFE = 87.1 kcal/mol is calculated for Fc/CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H. Similar experiments with the Cr<sup>V</sup>(O) complex showed efficient PCET from Me<sub>2</sub>Fc and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (pK<sub>a</sub> = 12.6) to give Cr<sup>III</sup>(TBP<sub>8</sub>Cz), but no reaction was observed for Fc/TFA. These reductant/acid pairs have effective BDFEs of 66.5 and 75.5 kcal/mol, respectively. The results obtained for the separated PCET reagents provide good support for the BDFE range predicted for both **2** and **3** from the reactivity pattern with the H atom donors in Table 1.

Insight into the origin of the large difference in BDFE and related reactivity for 2 and 3 comes from cyclic voltammetry (Figure 3). The quasi-reversible wave at  $E_{1/2} = -0.43$  V is



Figure 3. CV of 3 in  $CH_2Cl_2$  with 0.1 M TBAPF<sub>6</sub> as supporting electrolyte; scan rate 25 mV/s.

assigned to the CrV/CrIV redox potential based on previous assignments for metallocorrolazines, including 2.<sup>4a</sup> Interestingly, the  $Cr^V/Cr^{IV}$  potential is ~100 mV more positive than that seen for Mn<sup>V</sup>/Mn<sup>IV</sup> ( $E_{1/2}(2) = -0.55$  V). According to eq 1, the larger redox potential for 3 should provide a 2.3 kcal/mol  $(23.06 \times (0.1))$ V)) increase in BDFE compared to 2. However, the BDFE for  $Cr^{IV}(OH)$  appears to be *weaker* than the BDFE for  $Mn^{IV}(OH)$ by at least 8 kcal/mol based on the observed HAT and PCET reactivity. Assuming a  $\Delta$ BDFE of 8 kcal/mol, and including the measured  $\Delta E^{\circ} = -0.1$  V, we find that  $\Delta p K_a$  must be ~8 according to eq 2. This result indicates that a reduced  $[Mn^{IV}(O)^{-}]$  species is at least 8 orders of magnitude more *basic* than the corresponding  $[Cr^{IV}(O)^{-}]$ , and this basicity dominates the difference in driving force for H atom abstraction. We previously suggested that the basicity of  $\lceil Mn^{IV}(O)^{-} \rceil$  was a potential key factor in HAT,<sup>4b</sup> and more recent studies have supported this conclusion.<sup>12</sup> However, this study provides a rare direct comparison of two high-valent metal-oxo species in identical ligand environments and demonstrates that a dramatic difference in reactivity can be assigned to the different basicities of the metal-oxo units.

In summary, we report a new  $Cr^{V}(O)$  porphyrinoid complex, and the first X-ray structure of an  $Mn^{V}(O)$  porphyrinoid complex. A comparison of HAT/PCET reactivity for these two adjacent first-row metal-oxo complexes (Mn, Cr) in identical ligand environments implies that the  $Mn^{V}(O)$  complex must have a much larger driving force (~8 kcal/mol) for H<sup>•</sup> abstraction than the corresponding  $Cr^{V}(O)$  complex, despite the latter complex having a 100 mV larger  $E_{1/2}$  value. The larger driving force can be attributed to the basicity of the one-electron reduced  $[Mn^{IV}(O)^{-}]$ , which we estimate to be ~8 orders of magnitude more basic than  $[Cr^{IV}(O)^{-}]$ . This work supports the hypothesis that the basicity of high-valent metal-oxo species in heme enzymes is a critical factor in tuning reactivity.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b05142.

 $Cr^{III}(TBP_8Cz)(CH_3CN)_2$  (CIF)  $Cr^V(O)(TBP_8Cz)$  (CIF)  $Mn^V(O)(TBP_8Cz)$  (CIF) Experimental procedures, kinetic studies, EPR, CV, Figures S1–S14, and Table S1 (PDF)

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*dpg@jhu.edu

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the NIH (GM101153 to D.P.G.) for financial support. R.A.B. is grateful for the  $E^2$ SHI, George E. Owen, and Harry and Cleio Greer Fellowships.

## **REFERENCES**

(1) (a) Denisov, I. G.; Makris, T. M.; Sligar, S. G.; Schlichting, I. *Chem. Rev.* 2005, 105, 2253. (b) Rittle, J.; Green, M. T. *Science* 2010, 330, 933.
(c) Yosca, T. H.; Rittle, J.; Krest, C. M.; Onderko, E. L.; Silakov, A.; Calixto, J. C.; Behan, R. K.; Green, M. T. *Science* 2013, 342, 825.
(d) Poulos, T. L. *Chem. Rev.* 2014, 114, 3919. (e) Yosca, T. H.; Behan, R. K.; Krest, C. M.; Onderko, E. L.; Langston, M. C.; Green, M. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, 136, 9124.

(2) (a) Krebs, C.; Galonić Fujimori, D.; Walsh, C. T.; Bollinger, J. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 484. (b) Bruijnincx, P. C.; van Koten, G.; Gebbink, R. J. K. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 2716.

(3) (a) Warren, J. J.; Tronic, T. A.; Mayer, J. M. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 6961. (b) Borovik, A. S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1870. (c) Bigi, J. P.; Harman, W. H.; Lassalle-Kaiser, B.; Robles, D. M.; Stich, T. A.; Yano, J.; Britt, R. D.; Chang, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 1536. (d) Usharani, D.; Lacy, D. C.; Borovik, A. S.; Shaik, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 17090. (e) Nam, W.; Lee, Y.-M.; Fukuzumi, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1146. (f) Chen, Z.; Yin, G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 1083. (g) Puri, M.; Que, L., Jr. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, DOI: 10.1021/acs.accounts.5b00244. (4) (a) Lansky, D. E.; Mandimutsira, B.; Ramdhanie, B.; Clausén, M.; Penner-Hahn, J.; Zvyagin, S. A.; Telser, J.; Krzystek, J.; Zhan, R.; Ou, Z.; Kadish, K. M.; Zakharov, L.; Rheingold, A. L.; Goldberg, D. P. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 4485. (b) Lansky, D. E.; Goldberg, D. P. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 5119. (c) Lansky, D. E.; Kosack, J. R.; Narducci Sarjeant, A. A.; Goldberg, D. P. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 8477. (d) Prokop, K. A.; de Visser, S. P.; Goldberg, D. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5091. (e) Fukuzumi, S.; Kotani, H.; Prokop, K. A.; Goldberg, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 1859. (f) Cho, K.; Leeladee, P.; McGown, A. J.; DeBeer, S.; Goldberg, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 7392. (g) Leeladee, P.; Baglia, R. A.; Prokop, K. A.; Latifi, R.; de Visser, S. P.; Goldberg, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 10397. (h) Baglia, R. A.; Dürr, M.; Ivanović-Burmazović, I.; Goldberg, D. P. Inorg. Chem. 2014, 53, 5893.

(5) (a) Groves, J. T.; Haushalter, R. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.
1981, 1165. (b) Garrison, J. M.; Bruice, T. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 191. (c) Collins, T. J.; Slebodnick, C.; Uffelman, E. S. Inorg. Chem.
1990, 29, 3433. (d) Meier-Callahan, A. E.; Gray, H. B.; Gross, Z. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 39, 3605. (e) Bakac, A.; Guzei, I. A. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 39, 736. (f) Hess, J. S.; Leelasubcharoen, S.; Rheingold, A. L.; Doren, D. J.; Theopold, K. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 2454. (g) O'Reilly, M.; Falkowski, J. M.; Ramachandran, V.; Pati, M.; Abboud, K. A.; Dalal, N. S.; Gray, T. G.; Veige, A. S. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 10901. (h) Cho, J.; Woo, J.; Eun Han, J.; Kubo, M.; Ogura, T.; Nam, W. Chem. Sci. 2011, 2,

2057. (i) Kotani, H.; Kaida, S.; Ishizuka, T.; Sakaguchi, M.; Ogura, T.; Shiota, Y.; Yoshizawa, K.; Kojima, T. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 945.

(6) (a) Collins, T. J.; Gordon-Wylie, S. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1989**, 111, 4511. (b) MacDonnell, F. M.; Fackler, N. L. P.; Stern, C.; O'Halloran, T. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, 116, 7431.

(7) (a) Groves, J. T.; Lee, J.; Marla, S. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 6269. (b) Arunkumar, C.; Lee, Y.-M.; Lee, J. Y.; Fukuzumi, S.; Nam, W. Chem. - Eur. J. **2009**, *15*, 11482.

(8) (a) Meier-Callahan, A. E.; Di Bilio, A. J.; Simkhovich, L.; Mahammed, A.; Goldberg, I.; Gray, H. B.; Gross, Z. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 6788. (b) Egorova, O. A.; Tsay, O. G.; Khatua, S.; Huh, J. O.; Churchill, D. G. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 4634. (c) Egorova, O. A.; Tsay, O. G.; Khatua, S.; Meka, B.; Maiti, N.; Kim, M.-K.; Kwon, S. J.; Huh, J. O.; Bucella, D.; Kang, S.-O.; Kwak, J.; Churchill, D. G. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 502.

(9) Shannon, R. D. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diffr., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr. 1976, 32, 751.

(10) (a) Bordwell, F. G.; Cheng, J. P.; Harrelson, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1229. (b) Waidmann, C. R.; Miller, A. J. M.; Ng, C.-W. A.; Scheuermann, M. L.; Porter, T. R.; Tronic, T. A.; Mayer, J. M. Energy Environ. Sci. 2012, 5, 7771. (c) Tarantino, K. T.; Liu, P.; Knowles, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 10022.

(11) This  $\Delta$ BDFE assumes that the BDFE of Cr<sup>IV</sup>(OH) is below that of xanthene, i.e., 72 kcal/mol or less, whereas the BDFE of Mn<sup>IV</sup>(OH) is assumed to be  $\geq$ 80 kcal/mol.

(12) Green, M. T. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **2009**, *13*, 84 and references therein.